

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name:

Sherwood-Recreation-Center-Phase-4

HEROS Number:

900000010246128

Start Date:

03/17/2022

State / Local Identifier:

94-6000412

Project Location:

920 N Main St, Salinas, CA 93906

Additional Location Information:

The property was inspected four times. The first inspection was on September 29, 2020. The inspection was on April 1, 2022. The third inspection was on August 16, 2023. The fourth inspection was on September 25, 2024. All inspections were conducted by Housing and Community Development staff.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Sherwood Recreation Center Phase 4 is located at 920 N. Main St. in Salinas, CA and it's approximately 12,895 square feet. Built in 1949, the property is located on a City-owned parcel (APN # 003-231-002-000) of 90 plus acres, zoned as a Public and Semipublic use and will retain that land use. The City of Salinas is planning to allocate FY 2021-22, FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 CDBG program funds for Phase 4 Retrofits to the Old Municipal Swimming Pool Building (CIP No. 9535) for the purpose of converting the facility into a recreation center to provide youth sports programs: 1. Testing, remediation, and/or removal of lead-based paint and asbestos containing materials on the inside or outside of the facility. 2. Removal of existing single ply TPO or PVC roof membrane and installation of a new one. 3. Removal of existing plywood roof covers and installation of new roof skylights and/or installation roof covers to allow the natural venting of the facility. 4. Double exit doors on south side shall be installed correctly (interior floor also uneven). 5. Removal of abandoned stair, associated roof, and column at the northeast corner of the facility. 6. Slurry seal of the parking area on west side of building including restriping of parking spaces to conform with the ADA 7. Removal of existing facility sign and installation of a new one. 8. Improvements to the accessible path of travel from existing transit stop on east side of North Main to the front entry of the facility, including replacing exposed aggregate sidewalks. 9. Removal of existing 8' high CMU screen walls at west side of facility. 10.Installation of new landscaped and paved areas on the west side of the facility. 11.Removal and installation of new windows on west and north sides of building at toilet/shower rooms and multi-purpose spaces. 12.Repair foundation/slab areas at the southwest corner of building including the removal of the service door into the storage room on the southwest corner. 13.Removal and installation of new concrete paving at south side of building to address existing drainage deficiencies. 14.Removal of existing fence and gates at south side of building and installation of new fence and gates to meet emergency exist

requirements. 15.Replacement of existing exit door on east side of the facility and addition of accessible path of travel to existing sidewalk network. 16. Miscellaneous improvements to toilet and shower room ventilation systems. 17.Removal and repaving of service yard at north side of building to comply with accessibility requirements for doorways. 18. Removal and replacement of vehicle gate at service yard at north side of building. 19. Built reception desk to entry area and general cosmetic work to this area. 20. Removal and proper disposition of old swimming pool boiler flue at north service yard/north facade. 21. Project will include additional soft costs and activity delivery activities during constructions. Soft cost activities will include architectural, planning, engineering, permits, inspections, surveys, reports, administration, project management, construction management, and other predevelopment costs. 22.As a rehabilitation project, miscellaneous work may be uncovered during construction, that potential additional work should be considered as part of the scope of work of this project. The CDBG allocation for the project under this CEST ERR is \$3,351,795.20. This amount includes hard construction cost, soft cost, and activity delivery. On October 10, 2023, City completed an EXEMPT ERR for soft costs and activity delivery in the amount of \$600,000.The total funding for the project is \$3,951,795.20. Should there be a needed for additional funds for the project, the City can increase funding by an additional 24.99% or \$987,553.62, in conformity with the City's HUD approved CPP dated May 16, 2023. This could potentially bring the project's CDBG funds to a total of \$4,939,348.82.

Level of Environment Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5:

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	
B-21-MC-06-	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$500,000.00
0005	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	
B-22-MC-06-	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$862,892.00
0005	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	
B-23-MC-06-	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$550,000.00
0005	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	
B-24-MC-06-	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$1,438,903.20
0005	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$3,351,795.20

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$3,351,795.20

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Complete
Historic Preservation	Inadvertent discovery mitigation measures for potential human remains are recommend for the project as outlined on page 46 of the report. The mitigation measures will be incorporated into the project approvals.	N/A	
Contamination and Toxic Substances	Based upon Forensic Analytical Consulting Services findings as noted in their report dated December 3, 2024, no additional testing is recommended, at this time.	N/A	See attached Mitigation Measures.
Clean Water Act - Regional Water Quality Control Board	WET-1 By obtaining the City of Salinas MS4 and NPDES permits for this construction project and implementing the program permit requirements the project proponent will install and maintain adequate storm water BMPs and manage construction practices to ensure no effect or discharge of sediment-laden water will occur to any offsite stream course or wetland from storm water runoff originating from the project area during project-related construction activities.	N/A	See attached Biological Resources Technical Memorandum prepared on November 29, 2023, by Soar Environmental Consulting with recommended Mitigation Measures.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)	Mitigation Measures Asbestos 1. Prior to conducting activities that will impact the materials identified in this report as containing or assumed asbestos containing, at any level, the materials must be removed and disposed of by a registered asbestos abatement contractor using proper engineering controls and worker protection. 2. Contractors and others responsible for any renovation or demolition activities on the property should be notified as to the presence of the asbestos so that compliance with regulatory requirements may be met in any planning and bidding phases. 3. Abatement contractors must notify the nearest Cal/OSHA district office at least 24 hours prior to any asbestos related	N/A	See Hazardous Materials Inspection Report prepared for the project.

12/12/2024 16:52 Page 3 of 6

		-	
	work. 4. Notification to the appropriate		
	Planning and Building Department and		
	Monterey Bay Air Resources District		
	(MBARD) advising that this survey has		
	been conducted. 5. If any other		
	suspected ACM not identified in this		
	report is found during any renovation or		
	demolition, work should cease, and		
	additional sampling and analysis should		
	be performed. Lead 1. Prior to		
	impacting any painted components		
	determined to be lead containing, all		
	flaking, peeling paint should be removed		
	and disposed of from a licensed		
	abatement contractor using CDPH		
	certified workers using protection and		
	proper engineering controls. All other		
	paint in good condition (not peeling from		
	the substrate) may remain in place		
	during renovation or demolition. 2. Lead		
	related activities shall not include the use		
	of wire brushing, flame torching, dry		
	scraping, sanding, stripping, abrasive		
	methods, or the use of heat guns unless		
	proper engineering controls and worker		
	protection are in place. 3. At the time of		
	removal of any LCP, samples of the lead		
	containing/contaminated waste should		
	be collected and analyzed by the Total		
	Threshold Limit Concentration (TTLC),		
	Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration		
	(STLC), and Toxicity Characteristic		
	Leaching Procedure (TCLP) in order to		
	determine whether wastes are classified		
	as non-hazardous solid or hazardous		
	waste in California or as defined under		
	the RCRA before transportation and		
	disposal to either a Class I, II, or III		
	landfill. 4. Contractors bidding for		
	renovation work should be compliant		
	with the requirements of the Cal/OSHA		
	Lead in Construction Standard (Title 8		
	CCR 1532.1).		
Federal	BIO-1 Soar Environmental Consulting,	N/A	See attached
Migratory Bird	Inc. recommends a pre-construction	,	Biological
Treaty Act	survey for nesting birds no more than 14		Resources
(MBTA)	days prior to ground disturbing activities.		Technical
			. commean

12/12/2024 16:52 Page 4 of 6

	The survey should be conducted by a qualified biologist during the bird nesting season (between February 1 and September 15). In the event active bird nests are encountered during the survey, the biologist will contact California Department of Fish and Wildlife staff in order to determine the appropriate nest avoidance buffer zone appropriate for the bird species. If no active bird nests of sensitive bird species are found, or ground disturbance is scheduled outside the bird nesting period, project activities may continue as planned. A final report of the findings, prepared by a qualified biologist, shall be submitted to the City of Salinas prior to construction-related activities that have the potential to disturb any active nests during the nesting season.		Memorandum prepared on November 29, 2023, by Soar Environmental Consulting with recommended Mitigation Measures.
Historic Preservation [National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 & 110; 36 CFR Part 800]	HP-1 In accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, if potential human remains are found, the lead agency staff and the County Coroner must be immediately notified of the discovery. The coroner would provide a determination within 48 hours of notification. No further excavation or disturbance of the identified material, or any area reasonably suspected to overlie additional remains can occur until a determination has been made. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are, or believed to be, Native American, the corner would notify the Native American Heritage Commission within 24 hours. HP-2 In accordance with Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, the NAHC must immediately notify those persons it believes to be the Most Likely Descendant (MLD) from the deceased Native American. Within 48 hours of this notification, the MLD would recommend to the lead agency her/his preferred treatment of the remains and associated grave goods. Further, federal regulations require that Native American	N/A	See attached Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project dated May 2024, with recommended Mitigation Measures.

Sherwood-Re Phase-4	ecreation-Center-	Salinas, CA		900000010246128
	human remains, fune object of cultural patr consistent with the re Native American Grav Repatriation Act all di in accordance with 43 Regulations Part 10.	imony are handled equirements of the res Protection and scovery situations		
Determination	on:			
☐ This o	categorically excluded activ	ty/project converts to	o EXEMPT per Section 58	3.34(a)(12), because
requi	es not require any mitigat res any formal permit or ication of this part for this	license; Funds ma	y be committed and	

	certification of this part for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
	This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).
Prepai	rer Signature: Date: Date:
Name	/ Title/ Organization: Luis Rodriguez / / SALINAS
Respo	nsible Entity Agency Official Signature: Date: /////
Name	Title: René Mendez /City Maraja

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part

58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

12/12/2024 16:52 Page 6 of 6

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW

Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name: Sherwood-Recreation-Center-Phase-4

HEROS Number: 900000010246128

Start Date: 03/17/2022

Responsible Entity (RE): SALINAS, 200 Lincoln Ave Salinas CA, 93901

State / Local Identifier: 94-6000412

RE Preparer: Luis Rodriguez

Certifying Office Rene Mendez

r:

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent

ity):

Point of Contact:

Point of Contact: Lance Lowe

Consultant (if applicable): CSG Consultants

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

By checking this box, I attest that as a preparer, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: 920 N Main St, Salinas, CA 93906

Additional Location Information:

The property was inspected four times. The first inspection was on September 29, 2020. The inspection was on April 1, 2022. The third inspection was on August 16, 2023. The fourth inspection was on September 25, 2024. All inspections were conducted by Housing and Community Development staff.

Direct Comments to: housingwebmail@ci.salinas.ca.us

65 W Alisal Street, 2nd Floor, Salinas, CA 93901-2639

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Sherwood Recreation Center Phase 4 is located at 920 N. Main St. in Salinas, CA and it's approximately 12,895 square feet. Built in 1949, the property is located on a City-owned parcel (APN # 003-231-002-000) of 90 plus acres, zoned as a Public and Semipublic use and will retain that land use. The City of Salinas is planning to allocate FY 2021-22, FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 CDBG program funds for Phase 4 Retrofits to the Old Municipal Swimming Pool Building (CIP No. 9535) for the purpose of converting the facility into a recreation center to provide youth sports programs: 1. Testing, remediation, and/or removal of lead-based paint and asbestos containing materials on the inside or outside of the facility. 2. Removal of existing single ply TPO or PVC roof membrane and installation of a new one. 3. Removal of existing plywood roof covers and installation of new roof skylights and/or installation roof covers to allow the natural venting of the facility. 4. Double exit doors on south side shall be installed correctly (interior floor also uneven). 5. Removal of abandoned stair, associated roof, and column at the northeast corner of the facility. 6. Slurry seal of the parking area on west side of building including restriping of parking spaces to conform with the ADA 7. Removal of existing facility sign and installation of a new one. 8. Improvements to the accessible path of travel from existing transit stop on east side of North Main to the front entry of the facility, including replacing exposed aggregate sidewalks. 9. Removal of existing 8' high CMU screen walls at west side of facility. 10.Installation of new landscaped and paved areas on the west side of the facility. 11.Removal and installation of new windows on west and north sides of building at toilet/shower rooms and multi-purpose spaces. 12.Repair foundation/slab areas at the southwest corner of building including the removal of the service door into the storage room on the southwest corner. 13.Removal and installation of new concrete paving at south side of building to address existing drainage deficiencies. 14. Removal of existing fence and gates at south side of building and installation of new fence

and gates to meet emergency exist requirements. 15.Replacement of existing exit door on east side of the facility and addition of accessible path of travel to existing sidewalk network. 16.Miscellaneous improvements to toilet and shower room ventilation systems. 17.Removal and repaving of service yard at north side of building to comply with accessibility requirements for doorways. 18.Removal and replacement of vehicle gate at service yard at north side of building. 19.Built reception desk to entry area and general cosmetic work to this area. 20. Removal and proper disposition of old swimming pool boiler flue at north service yard/north facade. 21.Project will include additional soft costs and activity delivery activities during constructions. Soft cost activities will include architectural, planning, engineering, permits, inspections, surveys, reports, administration, project management, construction management, and other predevelopment costs. 22.As a rehabilitation project, miscellaneous work may be uncovered during construction, that potential additional work should be considered as part of the scope of work of this project. The CDBG allocation for the project under this CEST ERR is \$3,351,795.20. This amount includes hard construction cost, soft cost, and activity delivery. On October 10, 2023, City completed an EXEMPT ERR for soft costs and activity delivery in the amount of \$600,000. The total funding for the project is \$3,951,795.20. Should there be a needed for additional funds for the project, the City can increase funding by an additional 24.99% or \$987,553.62, in conformity with the City's HUD approved CPP dated May 16, 2023. This could potentially bring the project's CDBG funds to a total of \$4,939,348.82.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Site Inspection 9-25-24.pdf
Field Inspection 8-16-23.pdf
Field Inspection - 04-01-2022.pdf

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

Determination:

	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to EXEMPT per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
✓	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR

This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

Approval Documents:

Executed ER - Sherwood 12-13-24.pdf

Newspaper - Monterey Herald.pdf

Proof of Posting - City Website.pdf

Proof of Posting - City Libraries.pdf

Proof of Posting - Project Site - CDD - CC.pdf

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer 9/6/2024

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
Number			
B-21-MC-06-0005	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$500,000.00
	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	
B-22-MC-06-0005	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$862,892.00
	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	
B-23-MC-06-0005	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$550,000.00
	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	
B-24-MC-06-0005	Community Planning and	Community Development Block	\$1,438,903.20
	Development (CPD)	Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	

Estimated Total HUD Funded, \$3,351,795.20

Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$3,351,795.20

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and	Are formal compliance steps	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source
Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4,	or mitigation	determinations)
§58.5, and §58.6	required?	
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE	DERS, AND REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The Project site is not located within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The Project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The Project site is not within an FAA-designated Civilian
		Airport Runway Clear Zone. The Salinas Municipal Airport is a general aviation airport and not a scheduled commercial airport. The Project site is located 2.57 miles or 13,570 feet from the Salinas Municipal Airport (Exhibit 1A), outside of the Airport Area of Influence (Exhibit 1B). Therefore, the Project is in compliance with the Airport Hazards requirements, would not result in the permanent exposure of people to excessive noise levels from airport operations, and would not subject construction workers to temporary excessive noise levels from airport operations. No impacts from Airport Hazards will occur.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	☐ Yes ☑ No	This Project site is located in California, a state that does not contain Coastal Barrier Resource Systems (CBRS) units (Exhibit 2). Therefore, this Project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Coastal Barriers Resources System Mapper was reviewed and there are no CBRS units on the West Coast of the United States. No Coastal Barrier Resources Act impacts will occur.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, the Project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this

Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-		section. The Project does not require
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		flood insurance and it is excepted from
		flood insurance. The Project site is
		located in a FEMA Flood Plain Zone X,
		having a 0.2% Annual Chance Flood
		Hazard with an average depth of 1 foot,
		and therefore is not located within a
		100-year flood zone, based on Flood
		Insurance Rate Map (FIRM),
		Community/Map Panel No.
		06053C0209G, which was effective
		4/2/2009 (Exhibit 3). Therefore, the
		Project site is not located in a FEMA
		designated Special Flood Hazard Area,
		and is in compliance with the flood
		insurance requirements.
		Implementation of the Project would
		result in the rehabilitation of a public
		facility and does not involve a critical
		action nor a substantial improvement to
		the existing buildings pursuant to 24
		CFR Part 55, Section 55.2. While flood
		insurance may not be mandatory in this
		instance, HUD recommends that all
		insurable structures maintain flood
		insurance under the National Flood
		Insurance Program (NFIP). The City of
		Salinas also participates in the NFIP.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality	☐ Yes ☑ No	The proposed project entails a short-
Clean Air Act, as amended,		term construction activity, which will
particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40		not result in a population increase.
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		Therefore, the project would not
, ,		conflict or obstruct with the
		implementation of the Monterey Bay
		Unified Air Pollution Control District
		(MBUAPCD) rules and regulations. The
		MBUAPCD is designated, under state
		criteria, as a non-attainment area for
		ozone and inhalable particulate matter
		(PM10). Under federal criteria, the
		MBUAPCD is at attainment (8-hour
		standard) and maintenance (1-hour
		standard) for ozone and at attainment
		for particulates. To achieve compliance

Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act,	☐ Yes ☑ No	with state air quality standards, the MBUAPCD adopted the Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) in 1991. The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the AQMP. Moreover, the MBUAPCD is currently subject to the 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region, which was prepared to maintain the federal ozone standard in the NCCAB. Emissions related to projects similar to the proposed project have been accommodated for in the 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region and would be considered below the "de minimis" level (Exhibit 4). No Air Quality impacts will occur. The City of Salinas is located approximately ten miles from the coast
sections 307(c) & (d)		and thus is not located within a Coastal Zone pursuant to the California Coastal Commission, Local Coastal Plan Status, Central Coast Area. This project is not located in nor does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Exhibit 5- LCP Status Central Coast Area Map, July 1, 2011. No Coastal Zone Management Act impacts will occur.
Contamination and Toxic	☑ Yes □ No	For the complete Compliance
Substances		Determination documentation, please
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		see the attached Exhibit 6 PDF entitled
		"Screen Summary Compliance Determination".
Endangered Species Act	☑ Yes □ No	A Biological Resources Technical
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		Memorandum (Exhibit 7) was prepared
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		on November 29, 2023, by Soar
402		Environmental Consulting. According to
		the Memo, the project site is completely

developed and/or disturbed from past and current uses of the property consisting of landscaping, parking lots, pathways, tables and benches, restrooms, and several large buildings, including a community center and an aquatic center. Sensitive Plant Species -The project site does not represent suitable habitat for any federal or state listed or proposed listed threatened or endangered plant species or other special-status plant species. Sensitive Wildlife Species -The project site does not represent suitable habitat for any federal or state listed or proposed listed threatened or endangered wildlife species. A CNDDB and USFWS iPaC search of the Salinas quadrangle and eight adjacent quadrangles conducted on October 16th, 2023, yielded documented occurrences of twelve (12) sensitive animal species in the region. Due to the absence of suitable aquatic breeding and foraging habitat nearby, the potential for sensitive animals in the region is considered low to none. Suitable potential nesting habitat is present onsite for other Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) bird species and raptors listed in the CNDDB search results in the numerous city-established landscaped park and study area trees. However, no active nests or nesting activity were observed during the survey of the study area or within the project area. The ornamental and native landscaping including native trees documented within and adjacent to the project site are expected to provide nesting habitat for migratory birds and raptors protected under the federal MBTA. Loss of an active nest would be considered a potentially significant effect. Mitigation for potential direct/indirect effects to nesting bird and raptor species will require

		compliance with the federal MBTA. Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 will ensure compliance with the federal MBTA and reduce potential effects to nesting birds to a less than significant impact. The implementation of mitigation measures will ensure that indirect impacts would be minimized for any protected species that occur near the Project site.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	☐ Yes ☑ No	According to the Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD), there are no industrial facilities handling explosive or fire-prone materials such as liquid propane, gasoline or other storage tanks are on- site, adjacent to or visible from the project site. Please see Exhibit 12 with email confirmation from MBARD. The surrounding area contains existing commercial and residential developments. Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. No impacts to Explosive and Flammable Hazards will occur.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	Prime farmlands are located within a 0.5-mile radius from the project site. However, the project site is developed with urban uses consistent with the City of Salinas General Plan and will not affect farmland uses in the vicinity. The project and proposed improvements will not result in the conversion of Prime Farmland or Unique Farmland as referenced on Exhibit 8 - Salinas General Plan, Figure COS-1, Existing Agricultural Areas, June 2002. The project site farmland designation is B - urban and built-up land. This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. Therefore, the project is in compliance with the

	1	
		Farmland Protection Policy Act. No impacts to Farmlands Protection will occur.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	□ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in the FFRMS floodplain, see Exhibit 3. The project is zone x per National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette 06053C0209G effective 4/2/2009. Therefore project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	✓ Yes □ No	The City also consulted with the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS). A response letter from CHRIS, Exhibit 13B dated March 13, 2024, stated the following: "CHRIS recommends, that given the Phase IV project includes various ground disturbing activities, a qualified archaeologist conduct further study archival and field study to identify cultural resources and provide project specific recommendations. The March 13, 2024, CHRIS report is attached. For that reason, the City conducted a A Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project was prepared by Historic Resource Associates (HRA) dated May 2024. The findings of the report noted that: "Based upon the negative findings, no further cultural resource work is recommended for the proposed project, and the proposed project will have no effect to historic properties." Two recommendations were provided in case potential human remains were encountered during construction activities. The City of Salinas will strictly adhere to the recommendations should any human remains be found. These recommendations will also be included into the specifications of the project, building permit, environmental assessment (EA) and any other

90000010246128

	1	
		pertinent or required documents or
		agreements. The Phase I ASHS is
		attached. As substantiated in the
		public record and consultation with the
		State Historic Preservation Office, no
		adverse impacts to Historic properties
		are anticipated with the project. With
		the incorporation of Mitigation
		Measures of the Phase I Archaeological
		Study and Historical Assessment (Exhibit
		13C) of the Sherwood Recreation Center
		1
		Rehabilitation Phase IV Project prepared
		by Historic Resource Associates (HRA)
		dated May 2024, impacts to Historic
		Preservation will be less than significant.
		The City of Salinas initiated Native
		American consultation with a request
		that was sent to the Native American
		Heritage Commission (NAHC) on
		October 13, 2023. A total of twenty
		Native American consultation letters
		(Exhibit 13D and 13F) were sent to all
		representatives on the NAHC and Tribal
		Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) list on
		February 1, 2024, with a consultation
		period through March 5, 2024. To date,
		only one comment was received from
		Esselen Tribe of Monterey County,
		indicating no further consultation is
		necessary (Exhibit 13E). Therefore,
		1
		based on Section 106 consultation the
		project will have No Adverse Effect on
		historic properties. Upon satisfactory
		implementation of the mitigations, the
		project will be in compliance with
		Section 106 of the National Historic
		Preservation Act.
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	Noise resulting from construction
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		activities during rehabilitation of the
amended by the Quiet Communities		building will occur. Hours of
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		construction will be as regulated per the
В		City of Salinas Zoning Code. Contractors
		will use Best Management Practices
		(BMPs) to keep noise levels within
		regulated limits such as using muffled
		construction equipment and limiting
	1	construction equipment and minumg

	<u></u>	
		construction activity to reasonable
		hours as prescribed by the City's Zoning
		Code. Short-term renovation activity
		will take place between 8:00 a.m. and
		5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.
		Reference, Exhibit 7-Salinas General
		Plan, 2002, Future Noise Contours.
		Project area is within 65 dBA CNEL,
		acceptable noise level for commercial
		area per Salinas General Plan, Table N-2
		Exterior Noise Standards, Salinas
		General Plan, 2002 as referenced in
		Exhibit 9. Based on the project
		1
		description, this project includes no
		activities that would require further
		evaluation under HUD's noise
		regulation. Therefore, project is in
		compliance with HUD's Noise
		regulations. No impact to Noise
	— —	Abatement and Control will occur.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located in an area
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		supported by a designated Sole Source
amended, particularly section		Aquifer. The nearest aquifer is located
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		29.1 miles from the project site,
		reference Exhibit 10 - U.S.
		Environmental Protection Agency,
		Region 9, Designated Sole Source
		Aquifers. Based on the project
		description, the project consists of
		activities that are unlikely to have an
		adverse impact on groundwater
		resources. The project is in compliance
		with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.
		No impacts to Sole Source Aquifers will
		occur.
Wetlands Protection	☑ Yes □ No	According to the Biological Resources
Executive Order 11990, particularly		Technical Memorandum (Exhibit 7)
sections 2 and 5		prepared on November 29, 2023, by
		Soar Environmental Consulting, no
		riparian, vernal pool, or sensitive natural
		communities regulated by the CDFW
		occurs within or adjacent to the project
		site. The project site includes a large
		building with surrounding landscaping, and sidewalks at the Sherwood
		Recreation Center. The Project Area

does not possess any native vegetation community or natural land. No impact will occur. No jurisdictional waters or wetlands that are regulated by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), CDFW, or Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) were documented within or adjacent to the Project Site. The project will not result in direct effects to federally protected wetlands through removal, filling, or hydrological interruption. No improvements or relocation of the existing storm drain outlet structures (inlet) located near the Sherwood Recreation Center area will occur as a result of project construction. Through implementation of Mitigation Measure WET-1, the project will comply with all applicable water quality regulations, including obtaining and complying with those conditions established in the City of Salinas MS4 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Stormwater program permits. Both permits include the treatment of all surface runoff from paved and developed areas, the implementation of applicable Best Management Practices (BMPs) during construction activities and the installation and proper maintenance of structural BMPs to ensure adequate long-term treatment of water before entering into any stream course or wetland. Mitigation Measure: WET-1 By obtaining the City of Salinas MS4 and NPDES permits for this construction project and implementing the program permit requirements the project proponent will install and maintain adequate stormwater BMPs and manage construction practices to ensure no effect or discharge of sediment-laden water will occur to any offsite stream course or wetland from stormwater

		runoff originating from the project area during project-related construction activities. The project area and adjacent properties are developed with existing sidewalks, streets, and underground utility services. According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, the Salinas River is a National Wildlife Refuge with a 15-year Comprehensive Conservation Plan for protection of native plants, wildlife, fish, and their habitats. The Salinas River Refuge includes wetlands. However, the proposed project is located in the City of Salinas and not within the boundaries of the Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge. Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. With the incorporation of Mitigation Measures, Wetlands Protection will have a less than significant impact. Therefore project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	USING ENVIRONMEN	Pursuant to the National Park Service, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, there are no designated Wild and Scenic Rivers in Salinas, CA. Refer to attached Exhibit 11A Nationwide River Inventory Map and Exhibit 11B National Wild and Scenic Rivers System Map. The nearest National wild and Scenic River is the Big Sur River located 29 miles from the project site. The project is 8.93 miles from the Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge and will impact this wildlife refuge (Exhibit 11C). This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. Therefore, project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. No impacts to Wild and Scenic Rivers Act will occur.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ☑ No	The subject property fronts North Main Street, East Bernal Drive to the south, Iris Drive and East Laurel Drive to the north. The site and the properties contiguous to it are zoned PS-Public and Semipublic and CR-Commercial Retail. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development concerns avoiding federal actions that may have a disproportionate adverse health or environmental impact on minority and low-income populations. This project would have no adverse impact on minority or low-income populations. The project is a rehabilitation project that would upgrade an underutilized recreation facility. Therefore, this would enhance the conditions of the community by providing much needed recreational opportunities to local residents in the project vicinity. No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. No Environmental Justice impacts will occur. Therefore project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.	

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Historic Preservation	Inadvertent discovery mitigation measures for potential human remains are	N/A		

Contamination and Toxic Substances	recommend for the project as outlined on page 46 of the report. The mitigation measures will be incorporated into the project approvals. Based upon Forensic Analytical Consulting Services findings as noted in their report dated December 3, 2024, no additional testing is recommended, at this time.	N/A	See attached Mitigation Measures.
Clean Water Act - Regional Water Quality Control Board	WET-1 By obtaining the City of Salinas MS4 and NPDES permits for this construction project and implementing the program permit requirements the project proponent will install and maintain adequate storm water BMPs and manage construction practices to ensure no effect or discharge of sediment-laden water will occur to any offsite stream course or wetland from storm water runoff originating from the project area during project-related construction activities.	N/A	See attached Biological Resources Technical Memorandum prepared on November 29, 2023, by Soar Environmental Consulting with recommended Mitigation Measures.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)	Mitigation Measures Asbestos 1. Prior to conducting activities that will impact the materials identified in this report as containing or assumed asbestos containing, at any level, the materials must be removed and disposed of by a registered asbestos abatement contractor using proper engineering controls and worker protection. 2. Contractors and others responsible for any renovation or demolition activities on the property should be notified as	N/A	See Hazardous Materials Inspection Report prepared for the project.

_			
	to the presence of the		
	asbestos so that compliance		
	with regulatory requirements		
	may be met in any planning		
	and bidding phases. 3.		
	Abatement contractors must		
	notify the nearest Cal/OSHA		
	district office at least 24 hours		
	prior to any asbestos related		
	work. 4. Notification to the		
	appropriate Planning and		
	Building Department and		
	Monterey Bay Air Resources		
	District (MBARD) advising that		
	this survey has been		
	conducted. 5. If any other		
	suspected ACM not identified		
	in this report is found during		
	any renovation or demolition,		
	work should cease, and		
	additional sampling and		
	analysis should be performed.		
	Lead 1. Prior to impacting any		
	painted components		
	determined to be lead		
	containing, all flaking, peeling		
	paint should be removed and		
	disposed of from a licensed		
	abatement contractor using		
	CDPH certified workers using		
	protection and proper		
	engineering controls. All other		
	paint in good condition (not		
	peeling from the substrate)		
	may remain in place during		
	renovation or demolition. 2. Lead related activities shall		
	not include the use of wire		
	brushing, flame torching, dry		
	scraping, sanding, stripping, abrasive methods, or the use		
	of heat guns unless proper		
	engineering controls and		
	worker protection are in		
	place. 3. At the time of		
	removal of any LCP, samples		
	removal of any Ler, samples		

Threshold Limit Concentration (TTLC), Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC), and Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) in order to determine whether wastes are classified as non- hazardous solid or hazardous waste in California or as defined under the RCRA before transportation and disposal to either a Class I, II, or III landfill. 4. Contractors bidding for renovation work should be compliant with the requirements of the Cal/OSHA Lead in Construction Standard (Title 8 CCR 1532.1). Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) BIO-1 Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc. recommends a pre-construction survey for nesting birds no more than 14 days prior to ground disturbing activities. The survey should be conducted by a qualified biologist during the bird nesting season (between February 1 and September 15). In the event active bird nests are encountered during the survey, the biologist will contact California Department of Fish and Wildlife staff in order to determine the appropriate nest avoidance buffer zone appropriate for the bird species. If no active bird nests of sensitive bird species are found, or ground disturbance is scheduled outside the bird nesting	N/A See attached Biological Resources Technical Memorandum prepared on November 29, 2023, by Soar Environmental Consulting with recommended Mitigation Measures.
---	---

	T	I	T	_
	period, project activities may continue as planned. A final report of the findings, prepared by a qualified biologist, shall be submitted			
	to the City of Salinas prior to construction-related activities			
	that have the potential to disturb any active nests during the nesting season.			
Historic Preservation [National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 & 110; 36 CFR Part 800]	HP-1 In accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, if potential human remains are found, the lead agency staff and the County Coroner must be immediately notified of the discovery. The coroner would provide a determination within 48 hours of notification. No further excavation or disturbance of the identified material, or any area reasonably suspected to overlie additional remains can occur until a determination has been made. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are, or believed to be, Native American, the corner would notify the Native American Heritage Commission within 24 hours. HP-2 In accordance with Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, the NAHC must immediately notify those persons it believes to be the Most Likely Descendant (MLD) from the deceased Native American. Within 48 hours of this notification, the MLD would recommend to the lead agency her/his preferred	N/A	See attached Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project dated May 2024, with recommended Mitigation Measures.	
	treatment of the remains and associated grave goods.			

Further, federal regulations		
require that Native American		
human remains, funerary		
objects, and object of cultural		
patrimony are handled		
consistent with the		
requirements of the Native		
American Graves Protection		
and Repatriation Act all		
discovery situations in		
accordance with 43 Code of		
Federal Regulations Part 10.		

Project Mitigation Plan

See attached Hazardous Materials Inspection Services Report, Biological Resources Memorandums and A Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project for Mitigation Measures.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The Project site is not located within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The Project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The Project site is not within an FAA-designated Civilian Airport Runway Clear Zone. The Salinas Municipal Airport is a general aviation airport and not a scheduled commercial airport. The Project site is located 2.57 miles or 13,570 feet from the Salinas Municipal Airport (Exhibit 1A), outside of the Airport Area of Influence (Exhibit 1B). Therefore, the Project is in compliance with the Airport Hazards requirements, would not result in the permanent exposure of people to excessive noise levels from airport operations, and would not subject construction workers to temporary excessive noise levels from airport operations. No impacts from Airport Hazards will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 1B - Airport Influence Area.pdf Exhibit 1A - Airport Hazards Map.JPG

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This Project site is located in California, a state that does not contain Coastal Barrier Resource Systems (CBRS) units (Exhibit 2). Therefore, this Project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Coastal Barriers Resources System Mapper was reviewed and there are no CBRS units on the West Coast of the United States. No Coastal Barrier Resources Act impacts will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 2 Coastal Barrier Resources.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Exhibit 3 FEMA Map.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance

Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the Project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The Project does not require flood insurance and it is excepted from flood insurance. The Project site is located in a FEMA Flood Plain Zone X, having a 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard with an average depth of 1 foot, and therefore is not located within a 100-year flood zone, based on Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Community/Map Panel No. 06053C0209G, which was effective 4/2/2009 (Exhibit 3). Therefore, the Project site is not located in a FEMA designated Special Flood Hazard Area, and is in compliance with the flood insurance requirements. Implementation of the Project would result in the rehabilitation of a public facility and does not involve a critical action nor a substantial improvement to the existing buildings pursuant to 24 CFR Part 55, Section 55.2. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The City of Salinas also participates in the NFIP.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 3 - FEMA Floodplain Map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The proposed project entails a short-term construction activity, which will not result in a population increase. Therefore, the project would not conflict or obstruct with the implementation of the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) rules and regulations. The MBUAPCD is designated, under state criteria, as a non-attainment area for ozone and inhalable particulate matter (PM10). Under federal criteria, the MBUAPCD is at attainment (8-hour standard) and maintenance (1-hour standard) for ozone and at attainment for particulates. To achieve compliance with state air quality standards, the MBUAPCD adopted the Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) in 1991. The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the AQMP. Moreover, the MBUAPCD is currently subject to the 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region, which was prepared to maintain the federal ozone standard in the NCCAB. Emissions related to projects similar to the proposed project have been accommodated for in the 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the

Sherwood-Recreation-Center-Phase-4

National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region and would be considered below the "de minimis" level (Exhibit 4). No Air Quality impacts will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 4 North Coast Air Basin Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The City of Salinas is located approximately ten miles from the coast and thus is not located within a Coastal Zone pursuant to the California Coastal Commission, Local Coastal Plan Status, Central Coast Area. This project is not located in nor does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Exhibit 5- LCP Status Central Coast Area Map, July 1, 2011. No Coastal Zone Management Act impacts will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 5B Monterey County Coastal Zone Boundary Map.pdf Exhibit 5 LCP Central Coast Area Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety of		
the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination		

1. How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.

ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

✓ None of the above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination** and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

^{*} HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

No

Sherwood-Recreation-

Center-Phase-4

Explain:

✓ Yes

- * This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.
- ** Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.
- 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice CPD-23-103?

Yes

Explain:

✓ No

* Notes:

- Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.
- Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.
- Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.
- Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.
- Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.
- 4. Is the proposed project new construction or substantial rehabilitation where testing will

be conducted but cannot yet occur because building construction has not been completed?

Yes

Compliance with this section is conditioned on post-construction testing being conducted, followed by mitigation, if needed. Radon test results, along with any needed mitigation plan, must be uploaded to the mitigation section within this screen.

✓ No

5. Was radon testing or a scientific data review conducted that provided a radon concentration level in pCi/L?

✓ Yes

No

If no testing was conducted and a review of science-based data offered a lack of science-based data for the project site, then document and upload the steps taken to look for documented test results and science-based data as well as the basis for the conclusion that testing would be infeasible or impracticable.

Explain:

File Upload:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

Non-radon contamination was found in a previous question.

6. How was radon data collected?

✓ All buildings involved were tested for radon

A review of science-based data was conducted

Enter the Radon concentration value, in pCi/L, derived from the review of science-based data:

	Provide the documentation* used to derive this value:		
	File Upload:		
	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.		
	Radon concentration value is greater than or equal to 4.0 pCi/L and/or non-radon contamination was found in a previous question. Continue to Mitigation		
ANSI/AARST re multifamily bui review, then de	if you conducted radon testing then provide a testing report (such as an port or DIY test) if applicable (note: DIY tests are not eligible for use in ildings), or documentation of the test results. If you conducted a scientific data escribe and cite the maps and data used and include copies of all supporting in Ensure that the best available data is utilized, if conducting a scientific data		
7. Were t	he radon test results for any dwelling unit tested at or above 4.0 pCi/L?		
Ye	S		
	Radon Mitigation is required for the question to proceed.		
	Enter the total number of dwelling units tested:		
	How many dwelling units tested at or above 4.0 pCi/L:		
	Enter the highest radon test result value:		
	Document the test results for all dwelling units tested with a copy of the test results for all dwelling units or testing report(s) covering all units:		
	File Upload:		

✓ No

Provide a copy of the test results for all dwelling units tested or testing report(s) covering all units tested:

Forensic Analytical Consulting Services was retained to evaluate radon concentrations at Sherwood Recreation Center. Field work was conducted by an AARST NRPP (National Radon Proficiency Program) Radon certified professional, Residential Measurement Provider, Oleksandr Zhdanyuk (NRPP ID # 110094-FACS conducted the following scope of work during this project: 1) site characterization and visual inspection of the premises; 2) performed environmental sampling; 3) submitted testing notification; 4) report testing conditions before and during the survey; and 5) generated a written report highlighting findings and recommendations. The purpose of the assessment was to 1) characterize potential airborne concentrations of radon gas in representative locations at the property; 2) provide information for consideration in assessing risk to building occupants; and 3) provide recommendations for further actions, as necessary. Radon air measurements were collected for approximately 51 hours in locations determined by the certified professional at the Sherwood Recreation Center in accordance with ANSI/AARST MA-MFLB-2023 "Protocol for Conducing Measurements of Radon and Radon Decay Products in Multifamily, School, Commercial and Mixed-use The site pertains to Zone 2 per the EPA Map of Radon Zones which indicates moderate potential for radon intrusion to be between 2.0 and 4.0 pCi/L.(See attached exhibit - California Map of Radon Zones). All sample results were below the average radon concentration range for Monterey County (4.0 picoCuries per Liter [pCi/L] and above) and below the United States Environmental Protection Agency action level of 4.0 pCi/L. results of short-term testing at Sherwood Center during this assessment, no additional testing is recommended, at this time.

File Upload:

PJ84544 Sherwood Recreation Center Radon Testing Report 120324.pdf California Map of Radon Zones.pdf

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen:

Non-radon contamination was found in a previous question.

8. Mitigation

Document the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental impacts cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.

For instances where radon mitigation is required (i.e. where test results demonstrated radon levels at 4.0 pCi/L and above), then you must include a radon mitigation plan*.

Can all adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?

No, all adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated. Project cannot proceed at this location.

✓ Yes, all adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation, and/or consideration of radon and radon mitigation, if needed, will occur following construction. Provide all mitigation requirements** and documents in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

- * Refer to CPD Notice CPD-23-103 for additional information on radon mitigation plans.

 ** Mitigation requirements include all clean-up requirements required by applicable federal, state, tribal, or local law. Additionally, please upload, as applicable, the long-term operations and maintenance plan, Remedial Action Work Plan, and other equivalent documents.
- 9. Describe how compliance was achieved. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls*, or use of institutional controls**.

Based upon Forensic Analytical Consulting Services findings as noted in their report dated December 3, 2024, no additional testing is recommended, at this time.

If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?

Complete removal

Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

Other

- * Engineering controls are any physical mechanism used to contain or stabilize contamination or ensure the effectiveness of a remedial action. Engineering controls may include, caps, covers, dikes, trenches, leachate collection systems, radon mitigation systems, signs, fences, physical access controls, ground water monitoring systems and ground water containment systems including, slurry walls and ground water pumping systems.
- ** Institutional controls are mechanisms used to limit human activities at or near a contaminated site, or to ensure the effectiveness of the remedial action over time, when contaminants remain at a site at levels above the applicable remediation standard which would allow for unrestricted use of the property. Institutional controls may include structure, land, and natural resource use restrictions, well restriction areas, classification exception areas, deed notices, and declarations of environmental restrictions.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

For the complete Compliance Determination documentation, please see the attached Exhibit 6 PDF entitled "Screen Summary Compliance Determination".

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 6 - Screen Summary Compliance Determination.pdf

Exhibit 6E - Radon Testing Report 120324.pdf

Exhibit 6D California DTSC EnviroStor.pdf

Exhibit 6C California Water Board GeoTracker Sites.pdf

Exhibit 6B US EPA Superfund National Priority List.pdf

Exhibit 6A Sherwood Recreation Center Project Hazmat Report - Final.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Biological Resources Technical Memorandum (Exhibit 7) was prepared on November 29, 2023, by Soar Environmental Consulting. According to the Memo, the project site is completely developed and/or disturbed from past and current uses of the property consisting of landscaping, parking lots, pathways, tables and benches, restrooms, and several large buildings, including a community center and an aquatic Sensitive Plant Species -The project site does not represent suitable habitat for any federal or state listed or proposed listed threatened or endangered plant species or other special-status plant species. Sensitive Wildlife Species -The project site does not represent suitable habitat for any federal or state listed or proposed listed threatened or endangered wildlife species. A CNDDB and USFWS iPaC search of the Salinas quadrangle and eight adjacent quadrangles conducted on October 16th, 2023, yielded documented occurrences of twelve (12) sensitive animal species in the region. Due to the absence of suitable aquatic breeding and foraging habitat nearby, the potential for sensitive animals in the region is considered low to none. potential nesting habitat is present onsite for other Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) bird species and raptors listed in the CNDDB search results in the numerous cityestablished landscaped park and study area trees. However, no active nests or nesting activity were observed during the survey of the study area or within the project area. The ornamental and native landscaping including native trees documented within and adjacent to the project site are expected to provide nesting habitat for migratory birds and raptors protected under the federal MBTA. Loss of an active nest would be considered a potentially significant effect. Mitigation for potential direct/indirect effects to nesting bird and raptor species will require compliance with the federal MBTA. Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 will ensure compliance with the federal MBTA and reduce potential effects to nesting birds to a less than significant impact. The implementation of mitigation measures will ensure that indirect impacts would be minimized for any protected species that occur near the Project site.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 7 Biololgical Resources Technical Memorandum.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Ves

2.	Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction,
rehabil	itation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

According to the Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD), there are no industrial facilities handling explosive or fire-prone materials such as liquid propane, gasoline or other storage tanks are on- site, adjacent to or visible from the project site. Please see Exhibit 12 with email confirmation from MBARD. The surrounding area contains existing commercial and residential developments. Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. No impacts to Explosive and Flammable Hazards will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 12 - MBARD Notification.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The project does not include new construction or acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion of agricultural land.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Prime farmlands are located within a 0.5-mile radius from the project site. However, the project site is developed with urban uses consistent with the City of Salinas General Plan and will not affect farmland uses in the vicinity. The project and proposed improvements will not result in the conversion of Prime Farmland or Unique Farmland as referenced on Exhibit 8 - Salinas General Plan, Figure COS-1, Existing Agricultural Areas, June 2002. The project site farmland designation is B - urban and built-up land. This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. Therefore, the project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. No impacts to Farmlands Protection will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 8 Important Farmlands Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

Yes

- (a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).
- (b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.
- (c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
- (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
- (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
- (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
- (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
- (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.
- (d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance, or other HUD assistance.

- (e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.
- (f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.
- (g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.
- (h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).
- (i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

_	
Descr	·iha·
DESCI	INC.

✓ No

2. Does the project include a Critical Action? Examples of Critical Actions include projects involving hospitals, fire and police stations, nursing homes, hazardous chemical storage, storage of valuable records, and utility plants.

Yes

Describe:

✓ No

3. Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information¹ to determine flood elevation. Include documentation and an explanation of why this is the best available information² for the site. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved³ structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Select one of the following three options:

CISA for non-critical actions. If using a local tool , data, or resources, ensure that the FFRMS elevation is higher than would have been determined using the 0.2 PFA or the FVA.

✓ 0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

FVA. If neither CISA nor 0.2-PFA is available, for non-critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding two feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FIRM or FIS or — if available — a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

¹ Sources which merit investigation include the files and studies of other federal agencies, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Soil Conservation Service and the U. S. Geological Survey. These agencies have prepared flood hazard studies for several thousand localities and, through their technical assistance programs, hydrologic studies, soil surveys, and other investigations have collected or developed other floodplain information for numerous sites and areas. States and communities are also sources of information on past flood 'experiences within their boundaries and are particularly knowledgeable about areas subject to high-risk flood hazards such as alluvial fans, high velocity flows, mudflows and mudslides, ice jams, subsidence and liquefaction.

² If you are using best available information, select the FVA option below and provide supporting documentation in the screen summary. Contact your <u>local environmental officer</u> with additional compliance questions.

³ Substantial improvement means any repair or improvement of a structure which costs at least 50 percent of the market value of the structure before repair or improvement or results in an increase of more than 20 percent of the number of dwelling units. The full definition can be found at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(12).

5. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in the FFRMS floodplain, see Exhibit 3. The project is zone x per National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette 06053C0209G effective 4/2/2009. Therefore project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 3 FEMA Map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct). or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- √ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
 - ✓ Amah Mutsun Tribal Band

Completed

Center-Phase-4

90000010246128

✓ Amah MutsunTribal Band of	Completed
Mission	
✓ Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe	Completed
✓ Esselen Tribe of Monterey County	Completed
✓ Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of	Completed
Costanoan	
✓ Ohlone/Costanoan-Esselen Nation	Completed
✓ Rumsen Am:a Tur:ataj Ohlone	Completed
✓ Tule River Indian Tribe of the Tule	Completed
River	
✓ Wuksachi Indian Tribe/Eshom	Completed
Valley Band	
✓ Xolon-Salinan Tribe	Completed

✓ Other Consulting Parties

✓ California Historic Information System✓ Native American Heritage CommissionCompleted

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

On October 13, 2023, the City requested consultation from the Native American Heritage Commission. On February 1, 2024, the City requested consultation from twenty (20) tribes on the consultation list with the consultation period closing March 5, 2024. No comments were received during the consultation period. The City also consulted with the California Historic Information System (CHRIS) on February 13, 2024. CHRIS comments are attached herewith.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or

uploading a map depicting the APE below:

APE map is attached as Exhibit 13A

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

As substantiated in the public record and consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office, no adverse impacts to Historic properties are anticipated with the project. With the incorporation of Mitigation Measures of the Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project prepared by Historic Resource Associates (HRA) dated May 2024, impacts to Historic Preservation will be less than significant.

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

✓ Yes

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

The City conducted a A Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project was prepared by Historic Resource Associates (HRA) dated May 2024, for more details see section Screen Summary.

No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive

further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. **Document reason for finding:**

A Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Project Phase IV was prepared by Historic Resource Associates dated May 2024. In applying the NRHP Criteria for Evaluation, described as the quality of significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, and culture found in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects, for a property to be considered significant, it must possess adequate integrity to convey its historic significance, including integrity of location, design, setting, material, workmanship, feeling and association, and meets the criteria A-C as outlined on page 3 of the report. Based upon the findings of the report, no further archaeological study is recommended for the proposed project.

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

✓ Yes (check all that apply)

Avoidance

Modification of project

✓ Other

Describe conditions here:

Inadvertent discovery mitigation measures for potential human remains are recommend for the project as outlined on page 46 of the report. The mitigation measures will be incorporated into the project approvals.

No

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The City also consulted with the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS). A response letter from CHRIS, Exhibit 13B dated March 13, 2024, stated the following: "CHRIS recommends, that given the Phase IV project includes various ground disturbing activities, a qualified archaeologist conduct further study archival and field study to identify cultural resources and provide project specific recommendations. The March 13, 2024, CHRIS report is attached. For that reason, the City conducted a A Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (ASHS) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project was prepared by Historic Resource Associates (HRA) dated May 2024. The findings of the report noted that: "Based upon the negative findings, no further cultural resource work is recommended for the proposed project, and the proposed project will have no effect to historic properties." Two recommendations were provided in case potential human remains were encountered during construction activities. The City of Salinas will strictly adhere to the recommendations should any human remains be found. These recommendations will also be included into the specifications of the project, building permit, environmental assessment (EA) and any other pertinent or required documents or agreements. The Phase I ASHS is attached. As substantiated in the public record and consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office, no adverse

impacts to Historic properties are anticipated with the project. With the incorporation of Mitigation Measures of the Phase I Archaeological Study and Historical Assessment (Exhibit 13C) of the Sherwood Recreation Center Rehabilitation Phase IV Project prepared by Historic Resource Associates (HRA) dated May 2024, impacts to Historic Preservation will be less than significant. The City of Salinas initiated Native American consultation with a request that was sent to the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) on October 13, 2023. A total of twenty Native American consultation letters (Exhibit 13D and 13F) were sent to all representatives on the NAHC and Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) list on February 1, 2024, with a consultation period through March 5, 2024. To date, only one comment was received from Esselen Tribe of Monterey County, indicating no further consultation is necessary (Exhibit 13E). Therefore, based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Upon satisfactory implementation of the mitigations, the project will be in compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 13F - Tribal Letters.pdf

Exhibit 13E - Tribal Response.pdf

Exhibit 13A - APE Effects Map.pdf

Exhbit 13B CHRIS Report.pdf

Exhibit 13C - Archeological Report.pdf

Exhibit 13D - Tribal List.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Noise resulting from construction activities during rehabilitation of the building will occur. Hours of construction will be as regulated per the City of Salinas Zoning Code. Contractors will use Best Management Practices (BMPs) to keep noise levels within regulated limits such as using muffled construction equipment and limiting construction activity to reasonable hours as prescribed by the City's Zoning Code. Short-term renovation activity will take place between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Reference, Exhibit 7-Salinas General Plan, 2002, Future Noise Contours. Project area is within 65 dBA CNEL, acceptable noise level for commercial area per Salinas General Plan, Table N-2 Exterior Noise Standards, Salinas General

Plan, 2002 as referenced in Exhibit 9. Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. Therefore, project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulations. No impact to Noise Abatement and Control will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 9 - GP Exterior Noise Standards Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located in an area supported by a designated Sole Source Aquifer. The nearest aquifer is located 29.1 miles from the project site, reference Exhibit 10 - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Designated Sole Source Aquifers. Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. No impacts to Sole Source Aquifers will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 10 Sole Source Aquifers Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

 Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

According to the Biological Resources Technical Memorandum (Exhibit 7) prepared on November 29, 2023, by Soar Environmental Consulting, no riparian, vernal pool, or sensitive natural communities regulated by the CDFW occurs within or adjacent to the project site. The project site includes a large building with surrounding landscaping, and sidewalks at the Sherwood Recreation Center. The Project Area does not possess any native vegetation community or natural land. No impact will occur. No jurisdictional waters or wetlands that are regulated by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), CDFW, or Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) were documented within or adjacent to the Project Site. The project will not result in direct effects to federally protected wetlands through removal, filling, or hydrological interruption. No improvements or relocation of the existing storm drain outlet structures (inlet) located near the Sherwood Recreation Center area will occur as a result of project construction. Through implementation of Mitigation Measure WET-1, the project will comply with all applicable water quality regulations, including obtaining and complying with those conditions established in the City of Salinas MS4

and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Stormwater program permits. Both permits include the treatment of all surface runoff from paved and developed areas, the implementation of applicable Best Management Practices (BMPs) during construction activities and the installation and proper maintenance of structural BMPs to ensure adequate long-term treatment of water before entering into any stream course or wetland. Mitigation Measure: WET-1 By obtaining the City of Salinas MS4 and NPDES permits for this construction project and implementing the program permit requirements the project proponent will install and maintain adequate stormwater BMPs and manage construction practices to ensure no effect or discharge of sediment-laden water will occur to any offsite stream course or wetland from stormwater runoff originating from the project area during project-related construction activities. The project area and adjacent properties are developed with existing sidewalks, streets, and underground utility services. According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, the Salinas River is a National Wildlife Refuge with a 15-year Comprehensive Conservation Plan for protection of native plants, wildlife, fish, and their habitats. The Salinas River Refuge includes wetlands. However, the proposed project is located in the City of Salinas and not within the boundaries of the Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge. Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. With the incorporation of Mitigation Measures, Wetlands Protection will have a less than significant impact. Therefore project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 14 National Wetlands Inventory Map.pdf
Exhibit 7 Biololgical Resources Technical Memorandum(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Pursuant to the National Park Service, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, there are no designated Wild and Scenic Rivers in Salinas, CA. Refer to attached Exhibit 11A Nationwide River Inventory Map and Exhibit 11B National Wild and Scenic Rivers System Map. The nearest National wild and Scenic River is the Big Sur River located 29 miles from the project site. The project is 8.93 miles from the Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge and will impact this wildlife refuge (Exhibit 11C). This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. Therefore, project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. No impacts to Wild and Scenic Rivers Act will occur.

Supporting documentation

Exhibit 11C - Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge.pdf
Exhibit 11B National Wild and Scenic Rivers.pdf

Exhibit 11A National Rivers Inventory.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The subject property fronts North Main Street, East Bernal Drive to the south, Iris Drive and East Laurel Drive to the north. The site and the properties contiguous to it are zoned PS-Public and Semipublic and CR-Commercial Retail. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development concerns avoiding federal actions that may have a disproportionate adverse health or environmental impact on minority and low-income populations. This project would have no adverse impact on minority or low-income populations. The project is a rehabilitation project that would upgrade an underutilized recreation facility. Therefore, this would enhance the conditions of the community by providing much needed recreational opportunities to local residents in the project vicinity. No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. No Environmental Justice impacts will occur. Therefore project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No